Benchmark No. 5 Lumbar Puncture

(Second Edition)





Benchmark No. 5 Lumbar Puncture

Copyright © 2018 British Association of Neuroscience Nurses. All rights reserved.

First PDF edition printed in 2017 in the United Kingdom (available online) This PDF edition (2nd) printed 2018 in the United Kingdom (available online). A catalogue record for this book is available from the British Library.

ISBN 978-1-911059-11-0

No part of this book shall be reproduced or transmitted in any form or by any means, electronic or mechanical, including photocopying, recording, or by any information retrieval system without written permission of the publisher.

Published by the British Association of Neuroscience Nurses

For more copies of this book, please email: info@bann.org.uk

Designed and Set by the British Association of Neuroscience Nurses www.bann.org.uk

Printed in the United Kingdom

Although every precaution has been taken in the preparation of this publication, the publisher and authors assume no responsibility for errors or omissions. Neither is any liability assumed for damages resulting from the use of this information contained



History

The Neuroscience Nursing Benchmarking Group (NNBG) was established in the 1990's as a result of increasing concerns over inconsistencies in practices as part of a subsidiary of BANN. The group aims to improve on the quality of care by comparing and sharing practice with each other, and set explicit standards for comparison of current practice against the ideal standard. The group is committed to searching for the best evidence related to specific areas of neuroscience practice. Membership of the group consists of representatives from neuroscience units within the UK and Ireland, together with educational colleagues from both the NHS/HSC and Higher Educational Institutes. The group is further subdivided into regions and this benchmark was developed by the North West group of the NNBG in 2006.

In 2016, the NNBG consolidated back into BANN and further information about NNBG can be found on the BANN website www.BANN.org.uk.

BANN would like to acknowledge the leadership and significant contribution made by the NNBG, and all its contributors, to neuroscience nursing over the years.

2

Benchmark No. 5 Lumbar Puncture

KEY POINTS

- 1. Lumbar Puncture (LP) is performed electively to aid diagnosis of:
 - a) Central Nervous system malignancies
 - b) Demyelinating diseases
 - c) Guillain Barre Syndrome.
 - d) Intracranial hypertension (IHH).
 - e) Normal pressure hydrocephalus.

Lumbar Puncture is performed as an emergency to aid diagnosis of:-

- f) Bacterial, fungal, mycobacterial and viral CNS infections
- g) Suspected Subarachnoid Haemorrhage in a patient with a negative CT scan.

Lumbar Puncture (LP) is performed therapeutically:-

- h) To facilitate intrathecal administration of chemotherapy and antibiotics
- i) To reduce blood load following SAH.
- 2. Elective Lumbar Punctures can be performed by nurses who have completed a local, training programme and have been assessed as being technically competent. To maintain competency, they are able to perform > 1 lumbar puncture/week. Benefits include:
 - Reduction in waiting times for completion of the procedure.
 - Reduction in the risk of traumatic tap entraining red blood cells into the CSF.

An assistant from the health care team should assist with the procedure to provide additional support for the practitioner and the patient.

- 3. A pre- procedure checklist must be completed which includes:-
 - Consent to the procedure.
 - Requirement for radiological imaging CT or MRI scan.
 - Awareness of possible contra-indications (suspected spinal abscess, raised intracranial pressure, papilledema, thrombocytopenia or anticoagulant therapy, high INR level).
- 4. To reduce the risk of occupational exposure personal protective clothing must be worn including face mask and eye shields.
- 5. Patients and their relatives/carers are informed of the rationale for the procedure and the possible risks and side effects.
- 6. Information relating to the lumbar puncture must be clearly documented in the patient's records.
- 7. The patient information that is given is current and evidence based and in accordance with local policy.
- 8. A detailed care plan meets the individual needs of the patient

Benchmark Number: 5 Lumbar Puncture

Date completed: July 2017 Review Date: July 2019

FACTOR 1 – Documentation

STATEMENT OF BEST PRACTICE	ACHIEVED	NOT ACHIEVED	VARIABLES
1.0 The nurse should ensure that the environment and appropriate equipment is readily available to safeguard the sterile procedure.			
1.1 The nurse should promote patient comfort by adequately preparing the patient for the procedure (i.e. opportunity to void pre-procedure and offering loose fitting clothing or hospital gown).			
 1.2 Prior to the lumbar puncture a check list is completed:- CT/MRI (if applicable) Screening for clotting status (bridging protocol may be required). Antiplatelet therapy (e.g. Aspirin) - not normally interrupted due to high risk of ischemic complications. Anti-coagulant therapy (e.g. Low molecular weight heparin, Warfarin, Clopidogrel, Rivaroxaban, Opixaban Intravenous heparin – may electively be interrupted due to high risk of procedure associated haemorrhage. 			

Benchmark Number: 5 Lumbar Puncture

Date completed: July 2017
Review Date: July 2019

FACTOR 2 - Protocol

STATEMENT OF BEST PRACTICE	ACHIEVED	NOT ACHIEVED	VARIABLES
2.0 Written guidelines/policy is available for the management of patients undergoing a lumbar puncture.			
Prior to the lumbar puncture, the nurse records vital signs and performs a baseline neurological assessment.			
2.2 A second person from the health care team is present during the procedure to act as chaperone, maintain patient dignity and provide support for the practitioner.			
The patient is assisted into the correct position for the procedure to be performed.			
2.4 During and immediately post procedure, the nurse assesses the patient for complications, which may include headache, nausea and vomiting, paraesthesia, leaking from the puncture site, severe radicular pain or numbness, focal motor or sensory neurological deficits.			
 2.5 Post LP headache is caused by leakage of CSF from the dura and traction on spinal nerves (characteristic frontal or occipital headache within 24-48hrs of the procedure). Currently there is no evidence to suggest that bed rest is beneficial in preventing post lumbar puncture headache. An individualised approach applies to post-procedure mobilisation, dependent on the patient's diagnosis. The nurse should liaise with the medical team with regard to mobilisation of the patient. 			
 2.6 Patients are provided with appropriate levels of information, advice and support to reduce post-lumbar puncture headache. Encourage increased fluid intake (Oral/IV fluids). Monitor fluid balance. 			

Benchmark Number: 5 Lumbar Puncture

Date completed: July 2017
Review Date: July 2019

FACTOR 3 – Education

STATEMENT OF BEST PRACTICE	ACHIEVED	NOT ACHIEVED	VARIABLES
3.0 A structured evidence based education programme is available for the care of patients undergoing lumbar puncture. It will include:			
 An awareness of the patients disease process and the reason for the procedure. Contraindications to performing a lumbar puncture. Anatomy of the spinal column. Positioning of the patient and identification of anatomical landmarks. 			
 An awareness of the range of equipment required for the procedure: Needle choice (cutting versus a-traumatic) may influence the risk of a post-LP headache Use of non-luer lock design needles to prevent connection with existing leur ports, reducing the risk of inadvertent connection errors. (NPSA, 2009). Manometer sets. 			
 Common problems encountered when performing a lumbar puncture Complications associated with performing a lumbar puncture An awareness of the different tests used on CSF specimens Measurement of opening pressure (normal opening pressure readings) Documentation Infection control measures including safe sharps disposal. 			
3.1 A formal assessment of competence and knowledge is made and recorded in a competency manual or staff assessment procedure.			

Benchmark Number: 5 Lumbar Puncture

Date completed: July 2017 Review Date: July 2019

FACTOR 4 – Patient Education

STATEMENT OF BEST PRACTICE	ACHIEVED	NOT ACHIEVED	VARIABLES
4.0 Patients / carers are informed of the rationale for the lumbar puncture and consent is obtained prior to the procedure.			
4.1 Information given to patients and carers is documented in the patient's records.			
4.2 Written information is available that explains the purpose of the Lumbar puncture in the context of their diagnosis.			
4.3 Direct team/team handover is performed for patients undergoing or having had a Lumbar Puncture.			
4.4 Documentation is updated post procedure			

References

Department of Health (2001). Reference Guide for Consent for examination or treatment. Department of Health, London

Hickey, J (2013). The clinical Practice of Neurological and Neurosurgical Nursing. 7th Edition. Lippincott Williams & Wilkins

NMC (2004) Nursing and Midwifery Council. NMC, London

Daly WM, (2003) Nursing roles and levels of practice: a framework for differentiating between elementary, specialist and advancing nursing practice. Journal of Clinical Nursing. 12,: 158-167.

Department of Health (2001) Reference guide to consent for examination or treatment. DOH, London.

Nursing and Midwifery Council (2004). The NMC Code of Professional Conduct. Standards for Conduct. Performance and Ethics. NMC London.

Sudlow C, Warlow C (2004) Posture and fluids for preventing post-dural puncture headache. Cochrane database CS001790.

Warner R, (2013). Lumbar puncture by nurses extend competencies and improves patient access. British Journal of Nursing. Vol 2. Issue 3.

NPSA Safer Spinal Needles alert. (2009). National Patient Safety Agency Alert NPSA / 2009 / PSA004A&B - "Safer spinal (intrathecal), epidural and regional devices".





Benchmark No. 5 Lumbar Puncture (2nd Ed)

