Benchmark No. 2 Tracheostomy Management (3rd Edition)

British Association of Neuroscience Nurses



Neuroscience Safe Staffing Benchmark Statements

Copyright © 2020 British Association of Neuroscience Nurses. All rights reserved. First PDF edition printed 2006 and second edition in 2017, both in the United Kingdom (available online). This PDF edition (3rd) printed 2020 in the United Kingdom (available online). A catalogue record for this book is available from the British Library.

ISBN 978-1-911059-17-2

No part of this book shall be reproduced or transmitted in any form or by any means, electronic or mechanical, including photocopying, recording, or by any information retrieval system without written permission of the publisher.

Published by the British Association of Neuroscience Nurses

For more copies of this book, please email: info@bann.org.uk

Designed and Set by the British Association of Neuroscience Nurses www.bann.org.uk

Printed in the United Kingdom

Although every precaution has been taken in the preparation of this publication, the publisher and authors assume no responsibility for errors or omissions. Neither is any liability assumed for damages resulting from the use of this information contained

www.bann.org.uk



History

The Neuroscience Nursing Benchmarking Group (NNBG) was established in the 1990's as a result of increasing concerns over inconsistencies in practices as part of a subsidiary of BANN. The group aims to improve on the quality of care by comparing and sharing practice with each other, and set explicit standards for comparison of current practice against the ideal standard. The group is committed to searching for the best evidence related to specific areas of neuroscience practice. Membership of the group consists of representatives from neuroscience units within the UK and Ireland, together with educational colleagues from both the NHS/HSC and Higher Educational Institutes. The group is further subdivided into regions and the first edition of this benchmark was developed by the North West regional group of the NNBG in 2006.

In 2016, the NNBG consolidated back into BANN and further information about NNBG can be found on the BANN website <u>www.BANN.org.uk</u>. This second edition of the benchmark has been developed by the restructured NNBG working group under BANN.

BANN would like to acknowledge the leadership and significant contribution made by the NNBG, and all its contributors, to neuroscience nursing over the years.

Benchmark No. 2 Tracheostomy Care

KEY POINTS

- Tracheostomy care must only be undertaken by staff that have been assessed as competent in accordance to local policy.
- Following the assessment, an individualised care plan will be implemented and evaluated specific to all aspects of care relating to the patient's individual tracheostomy needs.
- Care needs must be reviewed at least 2 hourly or according to the patients' clinical needs.
- Essential equipment must be present at the bedside with documented evidence that the check is undertaken at least once per shift
- There is evidence of regular multidisciplinary team meetings to evaluate the care delivered and review the management plan.
- Information relating to the specific tracheostomy tube must be documented and is clearly visible at the bedside.
- All patients should have a 'Tracheostomy Passport' containing personal information relating to their tracheostomy
- All patients must have a double cannulated tracheostomy tube in situ, except for minitracheostomies.
- Cuff pressures (minimum occlusion volumes, MOV) should not exceed 25cmH₂O
- If a patient has a fenestrated outer tube, the fenestrated inner tube must be exchanged for the plain inner tube prior to performing suctioning.
- Signs of respiratory distress must prompt an urgent airway assessment to investigate for possible tube displacement or blockage.
- Every clinical area caring for patients with a tracheostomy must have a clear procedure for managing tracheostomy care and escalation algorithm for emergencies and concerns
- To facilitate tube decannulation, the 'weaning' process must be guided by agreed parameters determined by the multi-disciplinary team.
- Following successful decannulation the patient must be closely observed and monitored for sign of respiratory distress.
- Humidification is essential for patients with a temporary tracheostomy
- To safeguard inter-hospital transfers, accompanying staff must ensure the appropriate equipment is available en-route and at the destination.

© British Association of Neuroscience Nurses 2020

www.bann.org.uk

FACTOR 1 – Documentation

	STATEMENT OF BEST PRACTICE	EVIDENCE & REFERENCES	ACHIEVED	NOT ACHIEVED	VARIABLES
1.0	Following assessment of the patient, an individualised care plan is available	NTSP, 2013			
1.1	The care plan is evaluated every shift or when the patients' health needs change	Alabdah et al 2018			
1.2	Nursing documentation includes the following information				
	a. Reason for insertion of the tracheostomyb. Type of tracheostomy tubec. Size of the tube	ICS, 2014			
	d. Date of insertion and methode. Date to be changedf. Stoma Care	McGrath, 2014			
	 g. Vital signs - respiratory rate and O₂ saturation h. Oxygen requirements i. Method of humidification i. Suction requirements 	Santos et al 2018			
	 k. Quality and type of secretions (colour, volume, odour consistency). I. Subglottic tube aspirates (colour, volume, 	Speed et al, 2018			
	consistency, odour). m. Inner tube checks n. Cuff pressure monitoring	St. George's NHS Trust, 2006.			
	 Visual check of tube position Dressing and tape change 	Hunt &			
	 p. Dressing and tape on ange q. Speaking valve/Passy Muir valve 	McGowen, 2005.			
	r. Essential equipment at the bedside including emergency tracheostomy kit is checked at least once per shift				
	s. Weaning plan				

	STATEMENT OF BEST PRACTICE	EVIDENCE & REFERENCES	ACHIEVED	NOT ACHIEVED	VARIABLES
1.3	The following bed space essential equipment is checked				
	and documented once per shift:				
	 Spare tracheostomy tube (same size and one size smaller) 				
	b. Tracheostomy dilators (as per local protocol)				
	c. Suction equipment, suction catheters, Yankeur				
	d. Personal protective equipment (PPE)	NTSP, 2013,			
	e. Sterile gloves - for performing deep suction				
	f. Non re-breath bag, bag valve-mask, oxygen tubing				
	g. Working oxygen point	NCEPOD, 2014			
	h. Sterile water				
	i. Humidification				
	j. 10ml syringes	NULC Cootland			
	k. Tracheostomy dressing and tape				
	I. Cuff manometer	2007			
	m. Lubricant				
	n. Scissors (stitch cutter if tracheostomy tube is sutured)				
	o. Over bed signs with emergency algorithm				
	p. Patient call bell				
1.4	Tracheostomy tube data, including behind the bed signs				
	with emergency algorithm, is clearly visible				
1.5	The patient has a Tracheostomy Passport' containing	NCEPOD, 2014.			
	personal information relating to their tracheostomy				
1.6	All patients, in ward environments, have a double-lumen	ICS, 2014			
	tracheostomy tube in situ, (except for mini- tracheostomies).				
1.7	There is documented evidence of regular multidisciplinary	GPIC, 2019			
	team meetings to review the plan of care.				

Benchmark Number: 2 Tracheostomy Care (3rd Edition)

FACTOR 2 – Protocol

	STATEMENT OF BEST PRACTICE	EVIDENCE & REFERENCES	ACHIEVED	NOT ACHIEVED	VARIABLES
2.0	Research based guidelines/protocols are available that				
	have been reviewed and updated in the last 2 years. These				
	include the following:				
	- Frankeney management	Bier et al, 2017			
	a. Emergency management				
	D. General care of lubes				
	d Suctioning and oxygenation				
	e Humidification				
	f. Care of subdottic tubes				
	g. Changing of tracheostomy tubes	Davis et al. 2002			
	h. Weaning and decannulation	David of al, 2002			
	i. Dysphagia assessment				
	j. Staff education and competency				
2.1	Tube Management				
	a. Tracheostomy tube data, including behind the bed signs with emergency algorithm is clearly visible				
	 The patient holds a Tracheostomy Passport' containing personal information relating to their tracheostomy 				
	c. All patients, in ward environments, have a double- lumen tracheostomy tube in situ, (except for mini- tracheostomies).				

		STATEMENT OF BEST PRACTICE	EVIDENCE & REFERENCES	ACHIEVED	NOT ACHIEVED	VARIABLES
2.2	Trac	cheostomy Tube Changes				
	a.	A collaborative decision to change the tube has been documented	100.0014			
	b.	Tracheostomy tube changes are initiated at least every 30 days (or as per manufacturer's recommendations)	103, 2014.			
	C.	Tracheostomy tube changes are undertaken by two practitioners who are trained and competent				
	d.	If a difficult tube change is anticipated, then a clinician experienced in upper airway management and a practitioner experienced in managing patients with a tracheostomy is present	NTSP, 2013			
	e.	The procedure is carried out aseptically				
	f.	The practitioners apply appropriate PPE				
2.3	Clea	ining Inner Tubes				
	a.	The inner tube is cleaned at the bedside with sterile water using a non-abrasive cleaning device and is air- dried prior to reinsertion				
	b.	(abrasive wire brushes will scratch the internal lumen of the tubes and increase the risk of colonisation)	NTSP, 2013			
	C.	The inner tube is checked and cleaned at least 4 Hourly or as clinically indicated				
	d.	A spare inner tube is available at the patient's bedside				

	STATEMENT OF BEST PRACTICE	EVIDENCE & REFERENCES	ACHIEVED	NOT ACHIEVED	VARIABLES
2.4 Cu a. b.	iff management Cuffed tracheostomy tubes must have their cuff pressure checked and documented at least once per shift (15–25 cmH ₂ 0/10-18 mm Hg (may be higher in ventilated patients). A cuff pressure manometer/ gauge should be used to check the cuff pressure	ICS, 2014 NTSP, 2013			
2.5 St The ap clinical	oma care plication of a stoma dressing is dictated by individual need:				
a.	When indicated, a polyurethane pre-cut key-hole dressing is inserted around the stoma (gauze dressings are not recommended as loose fibres can enter the airway)	NHS QIS, 2003			
b.	The dressing is kept clean and dry according to clinical need	NTSP, 2013			
C.	If a dressing is not indicated then a barrier film is advocated	NHS QIS, 2003			
d.	The stoma site is cleaned with normal saline using non-fibre shedding gauze swabs to remove exudates/secretions.				
e.	If not contra-indicated, cotton tapes or commercial tracheostomy holders should be used to secure the tube				
f.	Tapes are checked at least once per shift and changed as clinically indicated (the tension of the ties	NTSP, 2013			

	STATEMENT OF BEST PRACTICE	EVIDENCE & REFERENCES	ACHIEVED	NOT ACHIEVED	VARIABLES
	should be assessed to ensure one finger can slide between the tapes and neck				
g.	The procedure is carried out aseptically using appropriate PPE (protective eye wear, sterile gloves and aprons)				
2.6 Humi	dification				
The patie humidific	ent with a tracheostomy receives some form of ation e.g.				
 Cold or heated humidification heat moisture exchanger (HME) Buchanan bib 					
Oxygen t duration	herapy is clearly prescribed stating the percentage, and delivery system				
2.7 Suc	tioning				
a.	Suction pressures no greater than 20 kPa // 150 mmHg is recommended	NTSP, 2013			
b.	Appropriate sized, single-use multi-eyed catheters are used				
C.	Catheter diameter should be less than half the inner diameter of the tube to allow airflow around the sides of the catheter.	ICS, 2014			
d.	Fenestrated tracheostomy tubes – the non- fenestrated inner tube is inserted prior to suctioning.				
e.	Suctioning should last no longer than 10 seconds at each pass.				
f.	Suction is only applied during withdrawal of the catheter.				

	STATEMENT OF BEST PRACTICE	EVIDENCE & REFERENCES	ACHIEVED	NOT ACHIEVED	VARIABLES
g.	A closed-circuit suctioning device is utilised for patients requiring mechanical ventilation or high flow O ₂				
h.	Open suction technique is performed as an aseptic technique				
i.	Consider pre-oxygenation prior to suctioning, dependent upon the patients clinical needs				
2.8 Wea	ning				
The pers is clearly responsil	on or team responsible for tracheostomy management defined, particularly if it is not the specialty with primary pility for the rest of the patient's care.	ICS, 2014			
Prior to decannulation, an assessment of the upper airway is undertaken before any occlusive test of the tracheostomy is applied					
Weaning following	is a sequential process with consideration to the				
a.	The patient has agreed parameters determined by the MDT				
b.	The patient has been regularly reviewed	NTSP, 2013			
C.	If unable to follow the weaning plan, this is documented.				
d.	Safe staffing levels are available to maintain patient safety.	ICS, 2014			
e.	Throughout the weaning process, the patient is continually assessed and evaluated for signs of respiratory distress,				
f.	A period of cuff down trials is clearly documented.				

STATEMENT OF BEST PRACTICE	EVIDENCE & REFERENCES	ACHIEVED	NOT ACHIEVED	VARIABLES
 g. If a patient has had a tracheostomy tube in situ for a prolonged period of time, cuff deflation should normally be tolerated for around 24 hours prior to attempting further interventions and proceeding with the decannulation plan. h. Feeding regimes are reviewed and may be stopped prior to the commencement of any stage of the weaning process to minimise the risk of aspiration. 				
Speaking valves and occlusion caps				
The use of a speaking valve may be advocated prior to tube removal (aim for successful 24hr trial to determine if safe for decannulation). <i>Failure to deflate the cuff when a speaking valve</i> <i>or cap is attached will result in total occlusion of the patient's</i> <i>airway and suffocation</i> .	Passy-muir.			
 Tube occlusion technique "capping" for a pre- determined period may be trialled in some clinical settings Tracheostomy 'BED SIGNS' are clearly visible 	Freeman- Sanderson <i>et al</i> 2018 Sutt et al, 2015			
2.9 Decannulation				
 It is important to ensure that the cuff is deflated and the patient can breathe through their upper airway. Following successful decannulation: The patient is closely observed and monitored for signs of respiratory distress. 	ICS, 2014			
An airtight dressing is applied over the stoma site and observed for signs of inflammation.				

	STATEMENT OF BEST PRACTICE	EVIDENCE & REFERENCES	ACHIEVED	NOT ACHIEVED	VARIABLES
2.10 Con a. b. c. d.	Prior to insertion of the tracheostomy, the patient/relatives are informed that they will lose their ability to speak clearly whilst the tube is insitu. Patients with a tracheostomy will have complex communication needs and must be assessed by speech and language therapist in order to meet their specific needs Alternative forms of communication should be readily available to aid conversation Consideration must be given to the psychological impact of the loss of voice.	Freeman- Sanderson <i>et al</i> 2018. Speed & Harding, 2013			
2.11 Nut r a. b.	rition A formal dysphagia assessment must be undertaken by a speech and language therapist or dysphagia trained practitioner. The patient must be reviewed by the dietician to ensure good nutritional care.	Davis et al 2002.			

FACTOR 3 – Education

STATEMENT OF BEST PRACTICE	EVIDENCE & REFERENCES	ACHIEVED	NOT ACHIEVED	VARIABLES
All practitioners involved in the care of a patient with a				
tracheostomy are provided with a structured competency-				
based training program. This includes an understanding				
of:	Deveters at al 2010			
	Berston et al 2019			
a. Identification of different neck breathers (tracheostomy, laryngectomy)				
h The rationale for insertion of the tracheostomy tube				
c Potential risks and complications associated with a	Enic 3 2014			
tracheostomy	Lpic 3, 2014			
d. The range of equipment used for neck breathing	Russell, 2005			
patients				
e. Infection control				
f. Associated documentation				
g. Weaning tools				
h. Cuff management				
i. Emergency escalation algorithm				
j. Nursing care (as outlined in section 2)				
j. Feeding regimes				
Staff are aware of how to access relevant protocols,	McGrath et al 2017			
guidelines and evidence-based information	NPSA, 2005.			
	 STATEMENT OF BEST PRACTICE All practitioners involved in the care of a patient with a tracheostomy are provided with a structured competency-based training program. This includes an understanding of: a. Identification of different neck breathers (tracheostomy, laryngectomy) b. The rationale for insertion of the tracheostomy tube c. Potential risks and complications associated with a tracheostomy d. The range of equipment used for neck breathing patients e. Infection control f. Associated documentation g. Weaning tools h. Cuff management i. Emergency escalation algorithm j. Nursing care (as outlined in section 2) j. Feeding regimes 	STATEMENT OF BEST PRACTICEEVIDENCE & REFERENCESAll practitioners involved in the care of a patient with a tracheostomy are provided with a structured competency- based training program. This includes an understanding 	STATEMENT OF BEST PRACTICEEVIDENCE & REFERENCESACHIEVEDAll practitioners involved in the care of a patient with a tracheostomy are provided with a structured competency- based training program. This includes an understanding of:Berston et al 2019a. Identification of different neck breathers (tracheostomy, laryngectomy)Berston et al 2019b. The rationale for insertion of the tracheostomy tube C. Potential risks and complications associated with a tracheostomyEpic 3, 2014d. The range of equipment used for neck breathing patientsEpic 3, 2014e. Infection control f. Associated documentation g. Weaning tools h. Cuff management i. Emergency escalation algorithm j. Nursing care (as outlined in section 2) j. Feeding regimesMcGrath et al 2017 NPSA, 2005.	STATEMENT OF BEST PRACTICEEVIDENCE & REFERENCESACHIEVEDNOT ACHIEVEDAll practitioners involved in the care of a patient with a tracheostomy are provided with a structured competency- based training program. This includes an understanding of.Berston <i>et al</i> 2019Image: Comparison of the tracheostomy tubea.Identification of different neck breathers (tracheostomy, laryngectomy)Berston <i>et al</i> 2019Epic 3, 2014Image: Comparison of the tracheostomy tubeb.The rationale for insertion of the tracheostomy tube c.Potential risks and complications associated with a tracheostomyEpic 3, 2014Russell, 2005d.The range of equipment used for neck breathing patientsEpic 3, 2014Russell, 2005f.Associated documentation g.Weaning tools h.Cuff management i.Emergency escalation algorithm j.j.Nursing care (as outlined in section 2) j.Feeding regimesMcGrath <i>et al</i> 2017 NPSA, 2005.Image: Comparison of the tal 2017 NPSA, 2005.

FACTOR 4 – Patient Information

	STATEMENT OF BEST PRACTICE	EVIDENCE & REFERENCES	ACHIEVED	NOT ACHIEVED	VARIABLES
4.0	Patient information is available and reviewed in				
	accordance with local policy.				
4.1	Patients/carers must be given current evidence based				
	verbal and written information including:				
	a. Rationale for the intervention	Coe <i>et al</i> 2018			
	b. How often the patient will be reviewed				
	c. Possible complications	Hunt &			
	d. Risks and benefits	McGowen, 2005			
	e. Equipment that they are likely to encounter e.g.,				
	numidification, suctioning.				
	t. Likely duration of the tracheostomy				
4.2	Any information verbal /written that is given to the				
	patient/carers is documented in the patients notes				

References

Alabdah, J. Lynch, J., & McGrath, B. A. (2018). Reduction in hospital length of stay via tracheostomy quality improvement collaborative. *British Journal of Anaesthesia, 120*(5).25-26.

Berston, AD. Handy, J. (2019) OH'S Intensive Care Manual. 8th Ed. Elsevier.

Bier J, Hazarian L, Bovento, B, Wallace, S., Lynch, J., Coe, B., & McGrath, B.A. (2017). Role of the multidisciplinary team in the care of the tracheostomy patient. *Journal of Multidisciplinary Health, 10*: 391-398.

Coe, B. McGrath, B. Lynch, J. Firn, M (2018). NTSP Project: Non-medical competencies for tracheostomy/laryngectomy care-Template for local adaptation.

Davis, D. G. Bears, S. Barone, J. E. Corvo, P.R.Tucker, JB. (2002). Swallowing with a tracheostomy tube in place: Does cuff inflation matter? *Journal of Intensive Care Medicine*, *17*(3), 132-135.

Epic 3 (2014). Loveday H, Wilson J, Pratt A, Golsorkhi M, Tingle A, Bak A, Browne J, Prieto J, Wilcox M. National Evidence-Based Guidelines for Preventing Healthcare-Associated Infections in NHS Hospitals in England. *Journal of Hospital Infection*, 86: S1-S70

Freeman-Sanderson, AL. Togher, L. Elkins, MR. Kenny, B. (2018). Quality of life improves for tracheostomy patients with return of voice: A mixed methods evaluation of the patient experience across the care continuum. *Intensive Critical Care Nursing*, *46*:10-16.

GPICS (2019). *Guidelines for the Provision of Intensive Care Services.* 2nd edition. Faculty of Intensive Care Medicine.

Hunt, K. and McGowen, S. (2005) Tracheostomy management in the Neurosciences: A systematic multidisciplinary approach. *British Journal of Neuroscience Nursing* 1 (3):122-5.

ICS (2014). *Standards for the care of adult patients with a temporary Tracheostomy*. Council of the Intensive Care Society.

McGrath. B. (2014). Comprehensive Tracheostomy Care: The National Tracheostomy Safety Project Manual. Wiley.

McGrath, B. Lynch J. Bonvento, B. Wallace, S. Poole, V. Farrell, A. Diaz, C. Roberson, D. (2017). Evaluating the quality improvement impact of the global tracheostomy collaborative in four divers NHS Hospitals. BMJ Quality Improvements Reports.

NCEPOD: On the right trach? (2014). <u>http://www.ncepod.org.uk/2014tc.html</u>. Comprehensive Tracheostomy Care, the NTSP handbook. Available from <u>www.tracheostomy.org.uk</u>

NHS Quality Improvement Scotland (2007). *Caring for a Patient with a Tracheostomy Best Practice Statements*, NHS Quality Improvement Scotland, Edinburgh.

National Tracheostomy Safety Project. NTSP Manual http://www.tracheostomy.org.uk/

National Patient Safety Agency. (2005). *Protecting patients who are neck breathers - Patient safety information*. London: NHS National Patient Safety Agency

Passy-muir.com. The Passy-Muir Valve. 2020. http://www.passy-muir.com/.

Russell C. 2005. Providing the nurse with a guide to tracheostomy care and management. *British Journal of Nursing*, 14. (8), 428-33.

Santos, A., Harper, D., Gandy, S. & Buchanan, B. (2018). The positive impact of multidisciplinary tracheostomy team in the care of post-tracheostomy patients. *Critical Care Medicine*, *46*(1): 1214.

Speed, L. Harding, K. (2013). Tracheostomy teams reduce total tracheostomy time and increase speaking valve use: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Journal of Critical Care*. 28.

St George's Healthcare NHS Trust. (2006) *Guidelines for the care of patients with tracheostomy tubes*. <u>http://www.stgeorges.nhs.uk/trachindex.asp</u>

Sutt, A., & Fraser, J. F. (2015). Speaking valves as part of standard care with tracheostomized mechanically ventilated patients in intensive care unit. *Journal of Critical Care, 30*(5), 1119-1120.





Benchmark No. 2 (3rd Ed) Tracheostomy Management

